



GENDER GAP: A TREND ANALYSIS FROM LOW TO HIGH SECONDARY SCHOOL

Andrea Bendinelli -Michele Cardone-Patrizia Falzetti

Invalsi

V Seminar "INVALSI data: a tool for teaching and scientific research" ROME, February 25th – 28th, 2021









Theoretical framework

- In the field of educational research, the studies conducted so far agree that males achieve better school performance in the mathematical-scientific field, while females in the linguistic sphere (Sammons, 1995; Fryer Jr. & Levitt, 2009; Stoet & Geary, 2013);
- <u>male pupils show a greater self-control in dealing with particularly stressful</u> <u>and unexpected situations</u>: an interpretation is that boys achieve higher scores in standardized tests because they have always been more used to competitions (Steele, 1997);
- the influence of socio-economic-cultural status (ESCS) appears more marked in a positive sense for males than females, because <u>males are more sensitive</u> to resources present in the family and learning context. This gap tends to decrease for lower ESCS levels (Legewie and Di Prete, 2012).



International framework

The PISA survey shows the specificity of the patterns deriving from the gender effect in Mathematics and Reading-results:

- according to PISA 2018, in 36 over 36 OECD countries females scores better than males in Reading, with 34 differences statistically significant, while males scores better than females in Mathematics in 31 OECD countries over 37, but with only 8 of those differences are statistically significant (*our elaboration of PISA data*).
- in Italy these gaps between females and males were all statistically significant, both in Reading and Mathematics, in the last three PISA editions. The situation is similar for Science, where about two out of three top performer students are boys (INVALSI, 2016c).



Our HP:

gender differences in the segment related to the first cycle of education see <u>girls ahead of men in the comprehension tests; this advantage tends to</u> <u>decrease with the progress of the school path</u> up to almost zero at the end of the second cycle of education (high school);

<u>the situation partly changes when considering Mathematics tests</u>: differences are moderate in the first cycle of education, which sees males slightly ahead of females, then diverge in favor of males reaching important differences at the end of the school course.



Aim of the work:

<u>verify gender differences in competences at the second cycle of the</u> <u>school curriculum (high school) for the s.y. 2018-19</u>

Considering all the students' data we can dispose by Invalsi Tests:

- Info from 2019 13th grade tests (competence levels)
 - Info from 2016 10th grade tests
 - Info from 2014 8th grade tests

It's a longitudinal approach permitted by the SIDI univocal code

ALSI Longitudinal approach: dependent (DV) and independent (IV) variables



In this process there's a data loss



Our db consists of: 242.042.

Reasons of mismatch:

- repeating pupils between grade 9 and grade 12;
- dropout pupils;
- pupils who did not take the G10 test;
- pupils enrolled in vocational training.

Resume of the data used:

Population:

242.042 pupils, not repeating between grade 8 and 13, who attended G08 2014, G10 2016 and G13 2019 tests (**47**% of the G08 cohort)

Outcome variables (DV):







Methods:

1. Descriptive approach:

<u>Descriptive statistics</u>:

- Characteristics (IV) by gender

- (Female) Odds ratio by DV "Y_Suff_G13" (ITA & MAT)
- (Female) Odds ratio by DV "Y_Top_G13" (ITA & MAT)

2. Modeling approach:

Logistic model:

- (Female) Odds ratio net of the other IV by DV "Y_Suff_G13" (ITA & MAT)

- (Female) Odds ratio net of the other IV by DV "Y_Top_G13" (ITA & MAT)

3. Comparison and conclusions

1. Descriptive approach: Descriptives (IV) by gender:

		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
کے د	Italian native	Э										
amil rigit licat	Foreigner I g											
in o E	Foreigner II g											
	1° quartile	e										
S	2° quartile	e										
О Ш	3° quartile	e										
	4° quartile	e										
a	North-Wes	t										
phic	North-Eas	t										
grap area	Centre	e -	-									
eo(South	ר 📃	UNIV. DEGREE: F 58% VS M 42%									
0	South-Island	k k										
sire d alific ion	No Univ. degree	e					Δ.Τ. Ε 2004 ττο	vc M 51%	_			
du: at	Univ.degree / more	•	LOWES	Lowest Ita ouartile: F 44% vs M 56				%				
pea g at wer ec.	Not repeating)	TOD ITA OLIAPTILE: E 56% vs M 44%									
sch sch	Repeating	9	TOP II	A QUANT		70 VS IVI	TT /0					
de ults	1° quartile	e l										
grac	2° quartile	e	LOWES	т Мат о	UARTILE	F 53%	vs M 47	'%				
3th TA	3° quartile	e				104 vc N						
	4° quartile	Э	TOP M	AT QUAR	IILE. F 4	470 VS I	vi 30%					
de ults	1° quartile	9										
grac	2° quartile	9										
8th IAT	3° quartile	9										
Σ	4° quartile	e la										

■ Male ■ Female



1. Descriptive approach: Odds ratio by G13 competences

	Y_Suff_G13_Ita		Y_Suf	f_G13_Mat
	< '3'	>= '3'	< '3'	>= '3'
Male	33.2%	66.8%	28.6%	71.4%
Female	26.6%	73.4%	38.9%	61.1%
Female odds ratio	1.3	1.37		0.63

	Y_Top_G13_Ita		Y_Top_G13_Mat		
	< '4'	>= '4'	< '4'	>= '4'	
Male	61.6%	38.4%	48.1%	51.9%	
Female	57.0%	43.0%	62.1%	37.9%	
Female odds ratio	1.21			0.57	



2. Modeling approach: Categorical variables coding in the logistic model

Variable	Categories			
	North-West			
	North-East			
Geographical area	Centre			
	South			
	South-Islands			
	1° quartile			
2014 8th grade INVALSI test score	2° quartile			
2014 oth grade invalsi test store	3° quartile			
	4° quartile			
	1° quartile			
FSCS	2° quartile			
E3C3	3° quartile			
	4° quartile			
	Italian native			
Immigrant background	Foreigner I g.			
	Foreigner II g.			
Desired qualification	No Univ. degree			
(10th Grade Qst)	Univ. degree / more			
Poposting student at lower sec. school	Not repeating			
Repeating student at lower sec. School	Repeating			
Condor	Male			
Gender	Female			

In bold the reference categories



2. Modeling approach: logistic models

The response variables are dichotomous variable: "Y_Suff_G13" (ITA & MAT) "Y_Top_G13" (ITA & MAT).

The data used for the models meet the requirements for the use of logistic regression.

The pseudo R-squares are higher than 0.3, considered a threshold of acceptability of these measures in logistic regression.

Several coefficients of the models present odds-ratios very different from '1', a signal of strong association with the response variable.



2. Modeling approach: Model summary

	Model summary				
		Italian language	Mathematics		
Model 1	-2 Log likelihood	203.256,5	222.533,1		
(Y1: G13 2019 Sufficient competence level)	Cox & Snell Pseudo R-square	0.26	0.26		
(above/below '3' out of 5)	Nagelkerke Pseudo R-square	0.38	0.36		
Model 2	-2 Log likelihood	249.878,1	253.052,2		
(Y2: G13 2019 Good competence level)	Cox & Snell Pseudo R-square	0.29	0,29		
(above/below '4' out of 5)	Nagelkerke Pseudo R-square	0.39	0.39		

Pseudo R-Squares tell us that the 2 models can fit the data

(note: no interpretation about variability explained can be made)



2. Modeling approach: Classification tables

Although the approach of this work is not of a predictive type, the classification tables can be used to check the goodness of fit of the models:

	% correct			
Model proposed:	Italian language	Mathematics		
Model 1	Competence level 1, 2	46.4%	48.8%	
(Y1: G13 2019 Sufficient competence level)	Competence level 3, 4, 5	91.9%	89.2%	
(above/below '3' out of 5)	Average	80.3%	77.4%	
Model 2	Competence level 1, 2, 3	79.4%	67.8%	
(Y2: G13 2019 Good competence level)	Competence level 4, 5	69.5%	84.5%	
(above/below '4' out of 5)	Average	75.0%	77.8%	

In the 2nd model the % correct are more consistent

(note: the 1st model would provide many "over estimated" competence level for not sufficient students)

2. Modeling approach: logistic model using 'sufficient' competence as response (level >=3 out of 5)

Variable	Catagorias	Italian lan.	Mathematics	
variable	Categories	Exp(B)=oddsratio		
Constant		0.33	0.45	
	North-West	1.87	1.95	
	North-East	1.84	2.07	
Geographical area	Centre	1.00	1.00	
	South	0.63	0.70	
	South-Islands	0.50	0.52	
	1° quartile	1.00	1.00	
2014 8th grade INVALSI test score	2° quartile	1.89	1.90	
2014 oth grade invalsi test score	3° quartile	5.10	4.51	
	4° quartile	16.20	15.66	
	1° quartile	1.00	1.00	
FSCS	2° quartile	1.22	1.19	
E3C3	3° quartile	1.36	1.32	
	4° quartile	1.70	1.46	
	Italian native	1.00	1.00	
Immigrant background	Foreigner I g.	0.85	1.04	
	Foreigner II g.	0.88	0.99	
Desired qualification	No Univ. degree	1.00	1.00	
(10th Grade Qst)	Univ. degree or more	2.80	2.28	
Repeating student at lower secondary	Not repeating	1.00	1.00	
school	Repeating*	0.45	0.46	
Condon	Male	1.00	1.00	
Genuer	Female	<u>1.11</u>	<u>0.54</u>	

INVALSI

2. Modeling approach: logistic model using 'good' competence as response (level >=4 out of 5)

Variabla	Catagorias	Italian lan.	Mathematics	
Variable	Categories	Exp(B)=oddsratio		
Constant		0.09	0.16	
	North-West	1.73	1.74	
	North-East	1.72	1.83	
Geographical area	Centre	1.00	1.00	
	South	0.67	0.70	
	South-Islands	0.55	0.53	
	1° quartile	1.00	1.00	
2014 9th grade INVALSI test score	2° quartile	1.12	1.53	
2014 oth grade invalsi test score	3° quartile	3.04	3.72	
	4° quartile	11.52	14.15	
	1° quartile	1.00	1.00	
ESCS	2° quartile	1.25	1.18	
ESCS	3° quartile	1.42	1.31	
	4° quartile	1.80	1.47	
	Italian native	1.00	1.00	
Immigrant background	Foreigner I g.	0.79	0.99	
	Foreigner II g.	0.86	1.01	
Desired qualification	No Univ. degree	1.00	1.00	
(10th Grade Qst)	Univ. degree or more	2.64	2.30	
Repeating student at lower secondary	Not repeating	1.00	1.00	
school	Repeating*	0.50	0.49	
Condon	Male	1.00	1.00	
Gender	Female	0.97	0.48	



3. Comparison and conclusions Odds ratio comparison

		(fer Odds	nale) s ratio		
	Dependent variable	Descriptive approach	Modelling approach	Conclusions from the models	
Y1: G13 2019 Sufficient	Italian language	1.37	1.11	Decrease to a slight positive gap in favour of females	
competence (above/below '3' out of 5)	Mathematics	0.63	0.54	Increase of the positive gap in favour of males	
Y2: G13 2019 Good	Italian language	1.21	0.97	Decrease to a no difference between males and females	
competence (above/below '4' out of 5)	Mathematics	0.57	0.48	Increase of the positive gap in favour of males	



3. Comparison and conclusions <u>Discussion</u>

The important conclusion that emerges from this work with respect to gender is the following:

starting from the descriptive statistics that confirm the best performance of females in Italian language and of males in Maths, when we get into the model to check the effect controlling for other variables, <u>the higher effect of</u> <u>females in Italian language almost disappears, while that in favour of males</u> <u>in Mathematics remains strong</u>:

although we are controlling for some important characteristics which are related to gender, it seems they reduced the better performance only for females in Italian



3. Comparison and conclusions <u>Limits and doubts</u>

1) we can talk only about 242.042 pupils (only 47% of the G08 initial cohort)

2) this sub-population can be in some way "<u>selected</u>" for different reasons:

- grade 10 tests are more participated by lyceum students and less by technical and vocational schools
- vocational training and repeating pupils between grade 9 and grade 12, not included, are less proficient (and maybe are more males?)

3) the '<u>top perfomer' model</u> maybe works better because in some way we 'selected' our population excluding weak pupils and/or lyceums are over represented?

4) why the '<u>desired qualification</u>' has so much effect, considering that

- it's the only 'perception variable' (not structural)
- it's much associated with gender (Degree: F 58% vs M 42%)
- it's for sure associated with lyceum pupils which are over represented



3. Comparison and conclusions <u>Next steps</u>

1) we can now use <u>2021 INVALSI data</u>, and we know that G10 2018 tests (in CBT) were much more participated than 2016 (so our match will increase)

2) separate the analysis by school track

3) Consider other models, using the outcome variable in all its 5 levels of competence or considering the original continuous score



Thanks

...and enjoy INVALSI data !!!

https://invalsi-serviziostatistico.cineca.it/